

REMARKS

This Amendment is being filed in response to the Final Office Action mailed June 10, 2008, which has been reviewed and carefully considered. Entry of the present amendment and allowance of the present application in view of the amendments made above and the remarks to follow are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4 and 7-15 remain in this application, where claims 1, 7-8, 10 and 14-15 are independent.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings for allegedly not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims, namely, a plurality of electronic components. In response, FIG 1 has been amended to include plurality of electronic components 8 in dashed line. Further, the specification has been amended in conformance with the changes to FIG 1. A replacement sheet including FIG 1 is enclosed. Applicant respectfully requests approval of the enclosed proposed drawing changes and withdrawal of the drawing objection.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner suggested adding headings to the specification. Applicant gratefully acknowledges

the Examiner's suggestion, however respectfully decline to add the headings as they are not required in accordance with MPEP §608.01(a), and could be inappropriately used in interpreting the specification.

In the Final Office Action, claims 1-4 and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as allegedly indefinite. In response, claims 1, 7-8, 10 and 14-15 have been amended to remove the alleged informality noted in the Office Action. It is respectfully submitted that the rejection of 1-4 and 7-15 has been overcome and an indication as such is respectfully requested.


In the Final Office Action, page 13, first full paragraph, the Examiner corrected noted that slots at both sides, and located at a distance from, the narrowed metal track are not disclosed or suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, independent claims 1, 7-8, 10 and 14-15 are allowable since each of them substantially recites the noted features. In addition, it is respectfully submitted that claims 2-4, 9 and 11-13 should also be allowed at least based on their dependence from independent claims 1 and 10, as well as their individually patentable elements.

In addition, Applicant denies any statement, position or

averment of the Examiner that is not specifically addressed by the foregoing argument and response. Any rejections and/or points of argument not addressed would appear to be moot in view of the presented remarks. However, the Applicant reserves the right to submit further arguments in support of the above stated position, should that become necessary. No arguments are waived and none of the Examiner's statements are conceded.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Dicran Halajian, Reg. 39,703
Attorney for Applicant(s)
July 30, 2008

Enclosure: Replacement drawing sheet (1 sheet including FIG 1)

THORNE & HALAJIAN, LLP
Applied Technology Center
111 West Main Street
Bay Shore, NY 11706
Tel: (631) 665-5139
Fax: (631) 665-5101